| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/604,314 | OLSCHEWSKI, FRANK |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Hadi Akhavannik | 2624 |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicatio | n: |
| (1) <u>Hadi Akhavannik</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Maria Eliseeva (43328)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 26 December 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | plicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: no rejection discussed | | |
| Claims discussed: claim 1 5, 9, and 14 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Crawford 4989142 | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Examiner explained the Applicant that claims 1 and 9 would Examiner also discussed prior art Crawfard. The Applicant | be allowable if they incorporate | ed the dependent claims 5 and 14. The |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum SUPERVISORY PATENT EX | The examiner will provide a sate record of the substance mary by the examiner appearance of the substance appearance of the substance appearance of the substance of the substan | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| M-1/1 | 1/A | ************************************** |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli | cant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |